

Candidate:\_\_\_\_\_

Response provides evidence that includes the following:

# **PPAT®** Assessment

### Task 1: Knowledge of Students and the Learning Environment

BannerID:

Grade Level & Content: \_\_\_\_\_

Semester/Year\_\_\_\_\_

Subtotal Points \_\_\_\_\_/8

| Response provides evidence that includes the following:  Subtotal Points   |  |   | ubtotal Points/8  |
|--|--|---|---|
| Score of 1   | Score of 2   | Score of 3  | Score of 4  |
| • an <i>ineffective</i> identification of an instructional strategy and a learning activity that are connected to the chosen community factor and could be used to further student learning with a <i>disconnected</i> rationale               | an incomplete identification of an instructional strategy and a learning activity that are connected to the chosen community factor and could be used to further student learning with a loosely connected rationale                   | • an effective identification of an instructional strategy and a learning activity that are connected to the chosen community factor and could be used to further student learning with an appropriate rationale                  | • a detailed identification of<br>an instructional strategy<br>and a learning activity that<br>are connected to the<br>chosen community factor<br>and could be used to<br>further student learning<br>with a tightly connected<br>rationale |
| • an <i>irrelevant</i> identification and description of an instructional strategy and a learning activity that are connected to the chosen school/district factor and could be used to further student learning with a disconnected rationale | a partial identification and description of an instructional strategy and a learning activity that are connected to the chosen school/district factor and could be used to further student learning with a loosely connected rationale | an effective identification and description of an instructional strategy and a learning activity that are connected to the chosen school/district factor and could be used to further student learning with a connected rationale | • a thorough identification and description of an instructional strategy and a learning activity that are connected to the chosen school/district factor and could be used to further student learning with a tightly connected rationale   |

| Score of 1  | Score of 2   | Score of 3  | Score of 4  |
|---|--|---|---|
| <ul> <li>an inaccurate description<br/>of how two resources<br/>would be used in the<br/>classroom to support<br/>student learning</li> </ul>   | a limited description of<br>how two resources<br>would be used in the<br>classroom to support<br>student learning  | an accurate description<br>of how two resources<br>would be used in the<br>classroom to support<br>student learning   | an extensive description<br>of how two resources<br>would be used in the<br>classroom to support<br>student learning  |
| <ul> <li>an uninformed         explanation of how a         third resource based on         a particular Knowledge         of Students         characteristic could         enhance student         learning</li> </ul> | a global explanation of<br>how a third resource<br>based on a particular<br>Knowledge of Students<br>characteristic could<br>enhance student<br>learning | an informed explanation<br>of how a third resource<br>based on a particular<br>Knowledge of Students<br>characteristic could<br>enhance student<br>learning | an in-depth explanation<br>of how a third resource<br>based on a particular<br>Knowledge of Students<br>characteristic could<br>enhance student<br>learning |



## Response for Textbox 1.1.3- Norms, Protocols, and Agreements Response provides evidence that includes the following:

Subtotal Points \_\_\_\_\_/12

| Score of 1   | Score of 2   | Score of 3   | Score of 4   |
|--|--|--|--|
| an ineffective     explanation of how a     classroom norm,     protocol, or agreement     facilitates instruction,     enhances student     learning, and/or impacts     the learning     environment   | a limited explanation of   | a logical explanation of   | a detailed explanation of  |
|  | how a classroom norm,  | how a classroom norm,  | how a classroom norm,  |
|  | protocol, or agreement   | protocol, or agreement   | protocol, or agreement   |
|  | facilitates instruction,   | facilitates instruction,   | facilitates instruction,   |
|  | enhances student   | enhances student   | enhances student   |
|  | learning, and/or impacts   | learning, and/or impacts   | learning, and/or impacts   |
|  | the learning   | the learning   | the learning   |
|  | environment  | environment  | environment  |
| an unclear explanation   | an incomplete     explanation of how a     technology norm,     protocol, or agreement     facilitates instruction,     enhances student     learning, and/or impacts     the learning environment   | a clear explanation of   | a thorough explanation   |
| of how a technology  |  | how a technology norm,   | of how a technology  |
| norm, protocol, or   |  | protocol, or agreement   | norm, protocol, or   |
| agreement facilitates  |  | facilitates instruction,   | agreement facilitates  |
| instruction, enhances  |  | enhances student   | instruction, enhances  |
| student learning, and/or   |  | learning, and/or impacts   | student learning, and/or   |
| impacts the learning   |  | the learning   | impacts the learning   |
| environment  |  | environment  | environment  |
| an uninformed     explanation of how a     classroom norm,     protocol, or agreement     that could be created by     the students and the     candidate teacher would     facilitate instruction,     enhance student     learning, and/or impact     the learning     environment | a partial explanation of<br>how a classroom norm,<br>protocol, or agreement<br>that could be created by<br>the students and the<br>teacher candidate would<br>facilitate instruction,<br>enhance student<br>learning, and/or impact<br>the learning<br>environment | an informed explanation of how a classroom norm, protocol, or agreement that could be created by the students and the teacher candidate would facilitate instruction, enhance student learning, and/or impact the learning environment | an insightful explanation of how a classroom norm, protocol, or agreement that could be created by the students and the teacher candidate would facilitate instruction, enhance student learning, and/or impact the learning environment |



Response for Textbox 1.2.1- Getting to Know Your Students

Response provides evidence that includes the following: Subtotal Points \_\_\_\_\_/8 Score of 1 Score of 3 Score of 4 Score of 2 • an ineffective analysis of • a partial analysis of how • an informed analysis of • an *extensive* analysis of how the compilation of the compilation of how the compilation of how the compilation of information from the information from the information from the information from the results of a Getting to Know Your Students Know Your Students Know Your Students Know Your Students activity would influence a activity would influence a activity would influence a activity would influence a whole-class instructional whole-class instructional whole-class instructional whole-class instructional decision with a decision with a limited decision with an aligned decision with a detailed disconnected rationale rationale provided for the rationale provided for the rationale provided for the provided for the decision decision decision decision an inaccurate analysis of • a partial analysis of how • an informed analysis of an in-depth analysis of how one student's one student's completed how one student's how one student's completed Getting to Know Getting to Know Your completed Getting to Know completed Getting to Your Students activity Students activity would Your Students activity Know Your Students would influence an influence an instructional would influence an activity would influence instructional decision made decision made for the instructional decision made an instructional decision for the student with a student with a limited for the student with an made for the student with disconnected rationale rationale provided for the aligned rationale provided a detailed rationale provided for the decision decision for the decision provided for the decision



#### Response for Textbox 1.2.2- The Focus Students

Response provides evidence that includes the following:

Subtotal Points \_\_\_\_\_/12

| Score of 1  | Score of 2  | Score of 3  | Score of 4  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| an inaccurate explanation of how each of the two Focus Students' cultural and linguistic assets, lived experiences, academic strengths, and learning needs contribute to the learning environment of the classroom  | a cursory explanation of<br>how each of the two<br>Focus Students' cultural<br>and linguistic assets,<br>lived experiences,<br>academic strengths, and<br>learning needs contribute<br>to the learning<br>environment of the<br>classroom   | an accurate explanation of<br>how each of the two Focus<br>Students' cultural and<br>linguistic assets, lived<br>experiences, academic<br>strengths, and learning<br>needs contribute to the<br>learning environment of<br>the classroom  | a significant explanation of<br>how each of the two Focus<br>Students' cultural and<br>linguistic assets, lived<br>experiences and academic<br>strengths, and learning<br>needs contribute to the<br>learning environment of<br>the classroom   |  |
| an ineffective explanation of how an identified instructional strategy and learning activity created with Focus Student #1 reflects the teacher candidate's understanding and appreciation of the Focus Student's cultural and linguistic assets, lived experiences, academic strengths, and learning needs | an incomplete     explanation of how an     identified instructional     strategy and learning     activity created with     Focus Student#1 reflects     the teacher candidate's     understanding and     appreciation of the Focus     Student's cultural and     linguistic assets, lived     experiences, academic     strengths, and learning     needs | • an effective explanation of how an identified instructional strategy and learning activity created with Focus Student #1 reflects the teacher candidate's understanding and appreciation of the Focus Student's cultural and linguistic assets, lived experiences, academic strengths, and learning needs                                   | • a thorough explanation of how an identified instructional strategy and learning activity created with Focus Student #1 reflects the teacher candidate's understanding and appreciation of the Focus Student's cultural and linguistic assets, lived experiences, academic strengths, and learning needs                                   |  |
| an ineffective explanation of how an identified instructional strategy and learning activity created with Focus Student #2 reflects the teacher candidate's understanding and appreciation of the Focus Student's cultural and linguistic assets, lived experiences, academic strengths, and learning needs | an incomplete     explanation of how an     identified instructional     strategy and learning     activity created with     Focus Student#2 reflects     the teacher candidate's     understanding and     appreciation of the Focus     Student's cultural and     linguistic assets, lived     experiences, academic     strengths, and learning     needs | an effective explanation of<br>how an identified<br>instructional strategy and<br>learning activity created<br>with Focus Student #2<br>reflects the teacher<br>candidate's understanding<br>and appreciation of the<br>Focus Student's cultural<br>and linguistic assets, lived<br>experiences, academic<br>strengths, and learning<br>needs | a thorough explanation of<br>how an identified<br>instructional strategy and<br>learning activity created<br>with Focus Student #2<br>reflects the teacher<br>candidate's understanding<br>and appreciation of the<br>Focus Student's cultural<br>and linguistic assets, lived<br>experiences, academic<br>strengths, and learning<br>needs |  |



### Response for Textbox 1.2.3- Communicating with Your Students' Families Response provides evidence that includes the following:

Subtotal Points \_\_\_\_\_/12

|   | Score of 1   | Score of 2   |   | Score of 3   |   | Score of 4  |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| • | an unclear explanation of how the method of communication conveys the importance of cultivating positive relationships with students and their families using disconnected examples from the communication for support | a cursory explanation of how the method of communication conveys the importance of cultivating positive relationships with students and their families using loosely connected examples from the communication for support | • | a clear explanation of how the method of communication conveys the importance of cultivating positive relationships with students and their families using connected examples from the communication for support | • | an extensive explanation of how the method of communication conveys the importance of cultivating positive relationships with students and their families using tightly connected examples from the communication for support |
| • | an ineffective explanation of how the method of communication fosters interaction among the teacher candidate, the students, and their families using inappropriate examples for support                               | a global explanation of<br>how the method of<br>communication fosters<br>interaction among the<br>teacher candidate, the<br>students, and their<br>families using loosely<br>connected examples for<br>support             | • | an effective explanation of how the method of communication fosters interaction among the teacher candidate, the students, and their families using connected examples for support                               | • | an <i>insightful</i> explanation of how the method of communication fosters interaction among the teacher candidate, the students, and their families using <i>tightly connected</i> examples for support                     |
| • | a minimal analysis of how an instructional decision in the classroom connects to the overall response received from the communication using irrelevant examples from the responses for support of the analysis         | an uneven analysis of how an instructional decision in the classroom connects to the overall response received from the communication using incomplete examples from the responses for support of the analysis             | • | a logical analysis of how an instructional decision in the classroom connects to the overall response received from the communication using relevant examples from the responses for support of the analysis     | • | a significant analysis of how an instructional decision in the classroom connects to the overall response received from the communication using tightly connected examples from the responses for support of the analysis     |

#### **Required Artifacts:**

| Total:  | _/ 60 points (You need a 45 or better to pass Task 1)                |
|---|--|
| pages)  ul> <li>your communication with st</li> | tudents' families (maximum of one page)                              |
| •   | pple from the Getting to Know Your Students activity (maximum of two |
| • •   | ort Resources Chart (maximum of three pages)                         |
| ☐ the Contextual Factors Char                   | rt (maximum of three pages)  |
|   |  |